

SOCIETY FOR PREVENTION RESEARCH

2023 Annual Meeting

Abstract Review Criteria for Blind, Peer Review

1. Review Type: Quantitative rankings

2. Rank Scoring 5 point scale

1 = Very Weak

2 = Weak

3 = Average

4 = Strong

5 = Very Strong

3a. Five categories for review for individual papers and posters, organized paper symposia, organized poster forums, 20 x 20 presentations, technology demonstrations:

1. Interest	Topic will likely be of interest to attendees
2. Significance	Addresses an important problem in the field of prevention science; results could inform and advance the scientific knowledge base
3. Innovation	Presents novel methods, theoretical approaches, and new questions for prevention science
4. Rigor	Theory, methods, and analyses are coherent, logical, and appropriate to the question; provides clear scientific foundation, addresses sampling procedures, biases, size, missing data, uses reliable measures, addresses race/ethnicity/biological sex diversity
5. Overall Rank	Based on the 4 categories, what is the overall impact of the abstract on prevention science?

3b. Five categories for review for roundtables discussions/scientific dialogues and TED-Like Talks:

1. Interest	Topic will likely be of interest to attendees
-------------	---

2. Significance	Addresses an important problem in the field of prevention science which could inform and advance the scientific knowledge base
3. Innovation	Presents novel approach, theoretical application, and new questions for prevention science
4. Rigor	Discussants (roundtable discussions/scientific dialogues) will present diverse perspectives and presenters (TED-Like Talks) will offer innovative and ground breaking ideas backed with scientific rigor or promote a new direction for prevention research.
5. Overall Rank	Based on the 4 categories, what is the overall impact of the abstract on prevention science?

4. Weighting and Scoring:

<u>Category</u>	<u>Weight</u>	<u>Maximum Score per Category</u>
1. Interest	2	10
2. Significance	4	20
3. Innovation	3	15
4. Rigor	4	20
5. Overall Rank	7	35
Total Score		100

5. Score Interpretation

- 90 - 100 Exceptional
- 80 - 89 Very good
- 70 - 79 Good
- 60 - 69 Marginal
- 0 - 59 Poor